

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/711,126	11/13/2000	Walter B. Hill JR.	3597-112-01	6456
75	90 01/17/2003			
Luke A. Kilyk KILYK & BOWERSOX, P.L.L.C. 53A Lee Street			EXAMINER	
			ALVO, MARC S	
Warrenton, VA 20186			Approprie	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1731	1,-
			DATE MAILED: 01/17/2003	/ [

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

,	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/711,126	HILL ET AL.		
,	Examiner	Art Unit		
•	Steve Alvo	1731		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address		
THE REPLY FILED 07 January 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	Void abandonment of this applic	cation. A proper reply to a		
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advievent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS I 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE	the final rejection. EFINAL REJECTION. See MPEP		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally cot in the	fee. The appropriate extension fee under		
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR	Brief must be filed within the p	eriod set forth in of the appeal.		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		•		
(a) 🛛 they raise new issues that would require furthe	er consideration and/or search (s	see NOTE below):		
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note be	elow);	,,,		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the		
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	inally rejected claims.		
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		• •		
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejecti	on(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would to canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment		
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:	reconsideration has been consi	dered but does NOT place the		
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.				
For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:				
Claim(s) allowed:				
Claim(s) objected to:				
Claim(s) rejected: 1-13, 15-24, 31-39, 41 and 42.				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-303 (Rev. 04-01)

10. Other: ____

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

8. The proposed drawing correction filed on ____ is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.

9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

Steve Alvo Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1731

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The combination of adsding a polymide oligomer and cationic polymer to pulp within 5 minutes of each other (claim 5) was not previously claimed and raises new issues which would require a further search..